

75% of Australia's Marine Protected Areas Are Given Only 'Partial' Protection. Here's Why that's a Problem

By [John Turnbull](#), [Carly Cook](#), [Prof. Emma Johnston](#) and [et al.](#)

Region: [Oceania](#)
Theme: [Environment](#)

Asia-Pacific Research, January 15, 2021

[The Conversation](#)

A global coalition of more than 50 countries have this week pledged to [protect over 30%](#) of the planet's lands and seas by the end of this decade. Their reasoning is clear: we need greater protection for nature, to [prevent further extinctions](#) and protect the life-sustaining ecosystems crucial to human survival.

The globally recognised tool to safeguard marine biodiversity is to designate a “marine protected area”. But not all protected areas are created equal.

The level of protection these areas provide depends on the activities permitted in their boundaries. For example, in “fully” protected areas, no plants or animals can be removed or harmed. Meanwhile, “partially” protected areas allow various extractive activities to occur, such as fishing and sometimes even mining.

Australia prides itself on having one of the largest marine protected area networks in the world, which includes iconic locations such as the Great Barrier Reef, Jervis Bay in New South Wales, Wilson's Promontory in Victoria and Rottnest Island in Western Australia. But only [one quarter of this network is fully protected](#).

The remaining three quarters are only partially protected, with vast areas allowing fishing, aquaculture and mining exploration. This is despite industrial-scale extraction of resources going against [international guidelines for protected areas](#).

So why is this a problem? Our two recent research papers show partially protected areas don't contribute much to wildlife conservation, yet take valuable conservation resources away from fully protected areas, which need them more.

The gap between fully and partially protected areas

[Our landmark study](#), published today, looked at marine protected areas in southern Australia. We gathered social and ecological data, including conducting 439 interviews, across five states and 7,000 kilometres of coastline.

We found partially protected areas had no more fish, invertebrates or algae than unprotected areas. Fully protected areas, by comparison, had 30% more fish species and

over twice the total weight of fish compared to unprotected areas.

We also found partially protected areas were no more of an attraction to locals and visitors than unprotected areas — they had similar numbers and mix of users, such as walkers, swimmers, fishers and divers.

On the other hand, fully protected areas were attractive to locals and visitors for their abundant wildlife and level of protection. They had twice as many divers and more than three times as many snorkelers compared to unprotected areas.

What's more, swimmers, divers and snorkelers said they experience significantly more marine life in fully protected areas, but not partially protected areas.

Defying public expectations

Image on the right: A sea fan, part of the abundant wildlife in in Lord Howe Island. John Turnbull, Author provided via The Conversation



The Australian marine protected area network has been moving further away from public expectations. In a 2020 [social study](#), researchers found Australians are generally confused about what activities are permitted in these areas.

Survey respondents were presented with the full list of activities allowed within partially protected areas, and asked to indicate which activities they understood to be permitted or prohibited within marine protected areas in Australia.

Overwhelmingly, they believed marine protected areas offer strict protection to the marine environment, preventing all types of extractive uses, including recreational fishing.

The majority of Australia's marine protected area network allow for commercial fishing, but few respondents were aware of this. Fewer still were aware large areas permit destructive activities, such as bottom trawling, which can destroy the seabed. The research team also [documented many cases](#) where protection has been downgraded, such as the Solitary Islands and Jervis Bay Marine Parks in NSW.

It's clear Australians expect the marine protected area network to adequately safeguard our unique wildlife. Yet these findings show their views are in stark contrast to the reality of marine environmental protection.

A matter of money

There are costs associated with partially protected areas – they consume conservation resources and occupy space that could otherwise be allocated to more effective protection. In fact, [research from 2011](#) found areas with a mixture of partial and full protection are up to twice as expensive to manage than a simpler fully protected area.

Partially protected areas do have a role in our overall marine network, but they should be used for specific purposes such as [enabling traditional management practices](#), protecting important breeding sites, or acting as [buffer zones around fully protected areas](#).

The [recent changes to Australia’s marine reserve network](#) represent an extremely worrying trend, as fully protected areas such as in the [Coral Sea](#) and [Batemans Bay](#) have been opened up to fishing.

Given the uncertainty surrounding the [effectiveness of partially protected areas globally](#), at a time when we face increasing challenges from climate change and loss of biodiversity, the findings of our two recent Australian studies indicate we should be aiming for more fully protected areas, not less.

If the world is to protect 30% of all lands and seas by the end of this decade, those protected areas need to be monitored closely to ensure they are delivering on their goals and expectations.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Authors:

[John Turnbull](#), Postdoctoral research associate, UNSW

[Carly Cook](#), Lecturer Head, Cook Research Group; School of Biological Sciences, Monash University

[Emma Johnston](#), Professor and Dean of Science, UNSW

[Graeme Clark](#), Senior Research Associate in Ecology, UNSW

[Kelsey Roberts](#), Post doctoral researcher at the School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Stony Brook University (The State University of New York)

Featured image: Purple dragon (*Flabellina rubrolineata*) in Nelson Bay. Fully protected areas have 30% more marine life than unprotected areas. John Turnbull, Author provided via *The Conversation*

The original source of this article is [The Conversation](#)

Copyright © [John Turnbull](#), [Carly Cook](#), [Prof. Emma Johnston](#) and [et al.](#), [The Conversation](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [John Turnbull](#),
[Carly Cook](#), [Prof. Emma](#)
[Johnston](#) and [et al.](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). Asia-Pacific Research will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. Asia-Pacific Research grants permission to cross-post Asia-Pacific Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Asia-Pacific Research article. For publication of Asia-Pacific Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com

www.asia-pacificresearch.com contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com