

With AUKUS, Australia Has Wedded Itself to a Risky US Policy on China - And Turned a Deaf Ear to the Region

By Prof. Matt Fitzpatrick

Asia-Pacific Research, March 14, 2023

The Conversation

Region: China, Oceania, USA

Theme: <u>Defence</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name (desktop version)

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Much has been made of Australia's renewed engagement with Asia and the Pacific since Labor came to power.

Foreign Minister Penny Wong's "<u>charm offensive</u>" in the Pacific was seen as the beginning of a new process of listening to the region, not dictating to it. Labor's Asia-Pacific policy has also been <u>hailed</u> as striking a balance between the US and China.

In announcing the AUKUS submarine deal in the US this week, **Prime Minister Anthony Albanese** emphasised it was aimed at allowing nations in the region to "act in their sovereign interests free from coercion" and would "promote security by investing in our relationships across our region".

The reality of the submarine deal is not, however, in that spirit. Instead, it leads Australia towards half a century of armaments build up and restricted sovereignty within a US-led alliance aimed at containing China.

Worse, it hearkens back to a colonial vision of the region as rightfully dominated by Anglophone powers who enjoy a military advantage over others that live there.

In the process, it has also deliberately endangered the spirit – if not the letter of <u>nuclear</u> <u>non-proliferation agreements</u> – and heightened what our neighbours see as a <u>destabilising</u> <u>and unnecessary naval race</u> that can only further provoke China.

Relinquishing sovereignty of foreign policy

The deal confirms two things that nations in the region have long suspected.

First, Australia is <u>incapable of imagining an Asia-Pacific region</u> that is not militarily dominated by the United States.

In addition, the deal suggests we are still politically attached to the United Kingdom – the post-Brexit ghost of a past British empire once again <u>looking east of the Suez Canal</u> towards Asia and the Pacific.

The second is that, despite the window dressing, Australia's deafness to regional misgivings has not improved since the change to a Labor government.

AUKUS and the nuclear submarine deal are far from universally admired in Asia and the Pacific. The ASEAN bloc has repeatedly expressed its wish to avoid an arms race in the region. Regional powers such as Indonesia and Malaysia have made this clear on several occasions.

Because Indonesia and Malaysia's concerns about AUKUS don't accord with Australia's worldview, the temptation to dismiss or minimise them will be strong. I argue that Australia should err on the side of caution and take these concerns seriously: https://t.co/bjy2cx4DBW

— Susannah Patton (@SusannahCPatton) September 22, 2021

Other approaches to regional security do exist. And our neighbours have their own sense of how the Asia-Pacific can best balance the growing influence of both the US and China.

<u>Malaysia</u>, for example, has emphasised that so clearly identifying China as an enemy will be a self-fulfilling prophesy. The <u>Pacific states</u> have warned against becoming so clearly aligned with the US and sparking a renewed arms race in the Pacific. <u>New Zealand</u>, too, says it sees no sense in moving towards a nuclear-fuelled foreign policy.

Instead of taking these concerns seriously and engaging in deep regional diplomacy to head off future conflict, Australia seems to have has given up sovereign control of its foreign policy.

Canberra is moving towards what former **Prime Ministers** <u>Malcolm Turnbull</u> and <u>Paul</u> <u>Keating</u> have respectively called "shared sovereignty" and "outsourced" strategic sovereignty.

Contrary to the <u>assurances</u> of <u>Defence Minister Richard Marles</u>, Australia has decided to become absolutely central to the <u>US policy of containing and encircling China</u>. Retreating from the assumed military role that comes with this would take the kind of foreign policy courage that has not been seen for many decades.

War with China is not a certainty

Th submarine deal also comes against a backdrop of some <u>dangerously incautious media</u> <u>predictions</u> that Australia could be at war with China within three years.

Scarcely to be heard is the view that if war were to occur, it would be a war of choice, not a war to defend Australian sovereignty, even broadly defined.

Nine Newspapers' series on war with China within three years is criticised as hysterical and hyperbolic. #MediaWatch pic.twitter.com/fzR5ZtC7QB

— Media Watch (@ABCmediawatch) March 14, 2023

Bad assumptions about the future can unfortunately drive bad policy. The assumption of a regional war is in part a consequence of viewing China through the lens of the faulty idea of an inescapable "Thucydides Trap".

For adherents of this belief, war between the US and China is simply a natural fact dictated by history when a rising power challenges an established power, similar to what happened in the war between Athens and Sparta in ancient Greece.

Chinese brinkmanship and assertion of control over disputed territories and waters, however, is not a Greek tragedy. And Australian strategic decision-makers should not take for granted that war is coming either between China and Taiwan, or China and the United States – much less with Australia.

Herein lies the danger of handing over our sovereign foreign policy decision-making to the US and relaxing into the faux security offered by AUKUS.

We are led to the false sense there is no alternative but to be involved militarily wherever the US is in a conflict, whether that be in Iraq, Afghanistan or a future war over Taiwan.

Ceding Australia's capacity to make serious decisions about war and peace cannot be accepted unless all pretence of Australian sovereignty is abandoned. Australia could have tried to work towards a regional approach with other Asian and Pacific countries. But this week's agreement makes that all but impossible.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Matt Fitzpatrick is Professor in International History, Flinders University.

Featured image is from Countercurrents

The original source of this article is <u>The Conversation</u> Copyright © <u>Prof. Matt Fitzpatrick</u>, <u>The Conversation</u>, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Prof. Matt**

Fitzpatrick

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). Asia-Pacific Research will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. Asia-Pacific Research grants permission to cross-post Asia-Pacific Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Asia-Pacific Research article. For publication of Asia-Pacific Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com

www.asia-pacificresearch.com contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com