

International Criminal Court Closes in on Duterte

Outgoing chief prosecutor gives the green light to investigate mass atrocities under Philippine president

By Jason Castaneda

Asia-Pacific Research, June 25, 2021

Asia Times 24 June 2021

Region: South-East Asia

Theme: Justice

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at <a>@crg globalresearch.

In her final act as chief prosecutor of the International Criminal Court (ICC), **Fatou Bensouda** gave the <u>green light</u> for a formal investigation into charges of mass atrocities under Philippine **President Rodrigo Duterte**.

After almost three years of a <u>preliminary examination</u>, she called on the international body, and by extension her newly-installed successor, "to authorize the commencement of an investigation" into reportedly tens of thousands of extrajudicial killings (EJKs) under Duterte's drug war and "any other crimes which are sufficiently linked to these events" between November 1, 2011, and March 16, 2019.

Crucially, the specified period covers Duterte's tenure as mayor of the southern city of Davao, the first major urban center to bear the brunt of the Filipino leader's violent crackdown on illegal drugs and criminality.

Families of victims and human rights groups warmly welcomed the move, while the Filipino leader remained defiant and <u>lambasted</u> the court's decision as "bullsh*t" and its chief prosecutor as "crazy."

Right off the bat, the former British crown prosecutor and new ICC chief prosecutor, **Karim Khan**, <u>vowed</u> "to ensure that the quest for accountability and inroads on impunity are made" and emphasized the need for "building stronger cases and getting better cases in the courtroom" against abuses by officials under his watch.

The move, which could lead to the eventual prosecution of top Philippine officials, came much later than many initially expected, but it may have also come too early, giving the incumbent populist an even stronger impetus to rig next year's presidential elections in favor of a trusted ally, if not his daughter, Sara Duterte.

Court under attack

However, the international court's decision was far from assured, given questions over its jurisdiction and its willingness to take on a popular incumbent. In recent years, The Haguebased court has come under attack on many fronts, including from the former Trump administration, which sanctioned several ICC judges for launching investigations into US war crimes in Afghanistan.

The Biden administration has reversed those punitive measures, but there is little sign that the US or other superpowers such as China or Russia will ever accede to the Rome Statute under the ICC.

Meanwhile, the Beijing-friendly Philippine president <u>has relied on strong backing from China</u> in international bodies, including the United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHCR).

Drawing on a cabinet of lawyers and litigation experts, Duterte, himself a former prosecutor, has also been quick to chase legal loopholes to undermine any international investigation of his alleged human rights violations.

The first major response was the Philippines' withdrawal from the Rome Statute in March 2019 in an effort to undercut any cooperation with the ICC. The following year, in a speech during the 44th session of the UNHCR, Philippine Justice Secretary Menardo Guevarra, a renowned legal expert, reiterated the primacy of local courts and judicial institutions in addressing alleged abuses in the country.

The Philippine justice chief announced the creation of an inter-agency panel to investigate at last 5,655 deaths during police drug war operations under Duterte's watch. Up to this date, however, <u>only a handful</u> of erring officers have faced trial or any kind of stiff administrative punishment.

EJKs, meanwhile, have continued, <u>now targeting</u> progressive activists and opposition members.

In response, the outgoing ICC prosecutor maintained that the court "retains jurisdiction" over investigating state-sponsored abuses, which are "not subject to any statute of limitation."



The International Criminal Court at the Hague, Netherlands. Photo: iStock.

ICC at crossroads

The strongly-worded statement also marked a categorical rejection of the Philippine government's claim that domestic courts and relevant agencies have been sufficiently functional to preclude the need for any international investigation.

Highlighting what's at stake, Bensouda warned that the ICC "stands at a crossroads" and it needs to ensure, despite its limited resources, that large-scale abuses "not only [receive] prioritization" but also "open and frank discussions" with other member states to "advance the fight against impunity for atrocity crimes."

The Duterte administration was quick to dismiss the ICC's "midnight announcement" and reiterated that the international body was a "court of last resort," thus the latest announcement constitutes a "blatant violation of the principle of complementarity, which is a bedrock principle of the Rome Statute."

The Philippines maintained that local agencies were already conducting "concrete and progressive steps" to ensure accountability and that it has demonstrated a "long track record" of cooperating with international human rights bodies.

It also accused the outgoing prosecutor of "pre-empt[ing]" her successor in a supposed bid to undermine any proper evaluation of facts of the case. Presidential Spokesperson Harry Roque declared that the Filipino president will "never cooperate" with any international investigation.

Duterte was predictably less diplomatic, accusing the international body of colonial-style intervention in the Philippines' domestic affairs.

"This bullsh*t ICC ... I would not ... Why would I defend or face an accusation before white people? You must be crazy," Duterte said, evidently ignoring the fact that both

the outgoing and the new ICC chief prosecutors are persons of color.

"These colonialized, they have not atoned for their sins against the countries they invaded, including the Philippines. Then now ... they're trying to set up a court outside our country and making us liable to face them," the Filipino president added, claiming that "our laws are different. Our criminal procedures are different. How are you supposed to get justice there?"

Shooting off his mouth

The Philippines' constitution, however, is one of the most liberal democratic of its kind, largely drawing on American jurisprudence. No less than former **Supreme Court Associate Justice Antonio Carpio** has argued that both domestic laws as well as relevant ICC articles can be invoked to hold the Filipino president accountable for, among other things, inciting violence and instructing the country's law enforcement agencies to engage in a bloody crackdown against suspected drug dealers.

On his very first day of presidency, Duterte told the country's police forces: "If you know of any addicts, go ahead and kill them yourself as getting their parents to do it would be too painful." Shortly after, he reiterated the same position during a press conference, by stating: "I say let's kill five criminals every week, so they will be eliminated."

Two years into his violent drug war, Duterte openly admitted in an interview that his "only sin is the extrajudicial killings."

"These statements of President Duterte are called extrajudicial confessions because they were uttered out of court and outside of custodial investigation, admitting involvement in alleged crimes," wrote former magistrate Caprio, arguing that such statements are "universally recognized as admissible in evidence against an accused provided, they are voluntarily made and corroborated by evidence of the actual commission of the crime."

With a legal storm gathering against Duterte, there is now a greater incentive for him to shape the direction of the upcoming presidential elections, if not rig the system altogether given his <u>wide array of emergency powers</u> due to the Covid-19 crisis.

The incumbent is confined to only a single six-year term in office by the constitution, but he has openly contemplated the prospect of running as a vice-presidential candidate next year and actively supported key allies, including his long-time aide and current Senator Christopher "Bong" Go, as a potential successor.

His daughter, Sara, who is the frontliner in the latest survey of potential presidential candidates, is another option to ensure Duterte stays in power for the foreseeable future.

Ultimately, however, the Filipino president's priority is to ensure that the opposition, especially current Vice-President Leni Robredo, will not be able to mount an electoral challenge next year.

And the instinct for survival raises the temptation for deploying draconian measures, including Duterte's notorious "drug list" and vaguely-defined anti-terror laws, to intimidate, isolate and even violently target opposition candidates and their supporters across the country.

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

The original source of this article is <u>Asia Times</u> Copyright © <u>Jason Castaneda</u>, <u>Asia Times</u>, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Jason Castaneda

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). Asia-Pacific Research will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. Asia-Pacific Research grants permission to cross-post Asia-Pacific Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Asia-Pacific Research article. For publication of Asia-Pacific Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com

www.asia-pacificresearch.com contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com